

The Role of Transformational Leadership and Post-Conflict Recovery in Africa: A Panacea for Peace Building Initiatives in Borno State, Nigeria

Kamselem, Shehu., Sadiq, Mohammed Dikwa and Kyari, Goni Ali

Department of Polical Science, University of Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria.

*Corresponding author: Shehukamselem@gmail.com

Abstract

Post-conflict societies in Africa face the dual challenge of rebuilding physical infrastructure and restoring trust, legitimacy, and social capital. Leadership plays a pivotal role in shaping these outcomes. This study examines the role of transformational leadership in post-conflict recovery in Borno State, Nigeria, a region devastated by over a decade of Boko Haram insurgency. Drawing from transformational leadership theory as advanced by Burns (1978) and Bass (1985), the study underscores the importance of visionary, inspirational, and inclusive leadership in rebuilding war-torn societies. The insurgency in Borno State has devastated infrastructures, displaced millions, and fractured social cohesion. Using a mixed-methods approach that combines survey data from 250 respondents with 30 key informant interviews, the study analyzes how transformational leadership influences community trust, inclusivity, resilience, and recovery satisfaction. The results show that 65% of respondents perceive transformational leadership as positively associated with improved governance, while 64% highlight its role in enhancing community participation. However, challenges such as corruption, gender exclusion, and persistent insecurity undermine its full potential. While peace building efforts are underway, the role of leadership in mobilizing communities, restoring trust, and fostering sustainable recovery remains under examined. This paper argues that transformational leadership offers a vital peace building tool by inspiring collective action, addressing root causes of conflict, and fostering institutional renewal. The paper concludes that transformational leadership, if systematically cultivated, can play a pivotal role in peace building and recommends leadership training, inclusive governance mechanisms, and coordinated international support as pathways toward sustainable recovery.

Keywords: Governance, Peace building, Post-conflict Recovery and Transformational Leadership

Introduction

Conflict and violence remain pressing challenges in the contemporary international system, particularly in fragile states across Africa. The African continent has witnessed a long history of violent conflicts that have devastated lives, institutions, and economies. From the civil wars in Liberia and Sierra Leone to protracted conflicts in South Sudan, the Democratic Republic of Congo, and the insurgency in Nigeria's North-East, post-conflict reconstruction has become a pressing policy and scholarly concern (Sadiq, 2024). While much of the literature has focused on state-building, peace building, and institutional reforms, less attention has been paid to the role of leadership in steering societies from conflict to recovery. Nigeria's Borno State has endured protracted violence from the Boko Haram insurgency, which has devastated communities, displaced millions, and eroded trust in governance institutions (Matfess, 2017; Agbiboa, 2021). In such contexts, leadership is not only a political necessity but also a strategic tool for rebuilding fractured societies. Traditional models of governance that emphasize coercion or transactional bargaining have proven inadequate for peace building (Adebajo, 2020). Instead, transformational leadership offers a model that emphasizes vision, inspiration, moral authority, and collective empowerment (Burns, 1978; Bass, 1990).

In the Nigerian context, Borno State represents a poignant case. As the epicenter of the Boko Haram insurgency since 2009, Borno has experienced massive displacement, destruction of infrastructure, collapse of livelihoods, and erosion of trust in governance structures. Yet, amidst these challenges, leadership both formal (state officials) and informal (traditional and community leaders) has been critical in shaping recovery trajectories. Transformational leadership, which emphasizes vision, motivation, and the empowerment of followers, offers a promising lens to examine how leadership can catalyze recovery in post conflict societies.

Transformational leadership has been studied extensively in corporate, educational, and political contexts, but its application to post-conflict recovery, especially in African societies, is still underexplored (Dinh et al., 2014; Northouse, 2021). This paper addresses this gap by situating transformational leadership within the realities of Borno State's post-conflict recovery. Specifically, it examines how leaders at community and state levels can act as change agents in fostering peace, resilience, and reconstruction. This study contributes to the literature by empirically investigating the nexus between transformational leadership and post-conflict recovery in Borno State.

Specifically, it seeks to answer:

1. How do communities perceive the role of transformational leadership in recovery initiatives?
2. What are the limitations and contextual challenges of transformational leadership in Borno State?
3. How can leadership models be adapted to African post-conflict contexts for sustainable peace?

Conceptual Clarifications

Transformational Leadership

Burns (1978) defines transformational leadership as a process where leaders and followers raise one another to higher levels of motivation and morality. Bass (1985) expanded this, emphasizing the leader's role in inspiring, intellectually stimulating, and individually developing followers. Burns (1978) notes that although leadership is in rich abundance in literature no central concept of leadership has emerged, because scholars are working in separate disciplines to answer specific questions unique to their specialty. Because of the work conducted in the field of humanistic psychology, Burns states that it made it possible to make generalizations about leadership across cultures and time. In his groundbreaking book "*Leadership*," Burns sets the stage for the evolution of the concept of transformational leadership.

Leadership according to Burns (1978) must be aligned with a collective purpose and effective leaders must be judged by their ability to make social changes. He suggests that the role of the leader and follower be united conceptually and that the process of leadership is the interplay of conflict and power. Burns delineates two basic types of leadership: transactional and transformational. Transactional leaders approach followers with the intent to exchange one thing for another, for example, the leaders may reward the hard-working teacher with an increase in budget allowance.

The concept of moral leadership is proposed as a means for leaders to take responsibility for their leadership and to aspire to satisfy the needs of the followers. Burns' position is that leaders are neither born nor made; instead, leaders evolve from a structure of motivation, values, and goals.

Post-Conflict Recovery

Post-conflict recovery entails a multidimensional process of rebuilding governance, restoring livelihoods, addressing root causes of conflict, and fostering reconciliation. It extends beyond physical reconstruction to include socio-political reintegration and institutional strengthening (World Bank, 2018). In Africa, recovery is often hindered by weak institutions, resource

constraints, and elite struggles for power. However, when grounded in inclusive and visionary leadership, recovery can foster resilience and peace building (Danjibo & Oladeji, 2018).

According to the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP, 2016), post-conflict recovery involves rebuilding infrastructure, restoring governance, fostering reconciliation, and addressing the root causes of conflict to ensure sustainable peace.

Peace Building

Peace building is conceptually defined as a multidimensional process that seeks to address the root causes of conflict, rebuild fractured societies, and lay the foundations for sustainable peace through institutional, social, and economic reconstruction. According to Lederach (1997), peace building transcends the mere cessation of hostilities to encompass the creation of structures that nurture reconciliation, justice, and social cohesion. It involves deliberate efforts aimed at transforming relationships, promoting trust, and fostering inclusive governance systems that prevent the re-emergence of violence. In post-conflict societies like Borno State,

Peace building requires an integrated framework combining political, developmental, and psychosocial interventions that can restore normalcy and promote coexistence among communities affected by insurgency. Within this context, transformational leadership serves as a critical catalyst in advancing peace building objectives. Transformational leaders inspire followers with a vision of collective peace, stimulate innovative approaches to conflict resolution, and prioritize the moral and emotional reconstruction of society (Bass & Riggio, 2006). Such leadership is instrumental in post-conflict environments where rebuilding trust between citizens and institutions is paramount. By embodying traits such as idealized influence, inspirational motivation, and individualized consideration, transformational leaders in Borno State can mobilize communities toward reconciliation, encourage participatory governance, and sustain recovery programs. Their ability to transform despair into hope and division into unity aligns closely with the fundamental tenets of peace building.

In the post-conflict recovery process of Borno State, peace building and transformational leadership intersect as mutually reinforcing mechanisms that guide reconstruction and resilience. As highlighted by Galtung (1996), sustainable peace requires both structural transformation and cultural change dimensions that transformational leadership directly nurtures. Effective peace building in the state therefore depends not only on material reconstruction but also on transformative leadership that fosters empowerment, inclusivity, and institutional accountability.

This synergy underscores the importance of visionary leadership in ensuring that post-conflict recovery strategies are participatory, context-driven, and anchored on long-term peace and development outcomes.

Literature Review

Transformational Leadership and Post-Conflict Recovery

Leadership has been increasingly recognized as central to post-conflict recovery. Scholars argue that the effectiveness of recovery processes depends not only on institutional frameworks and donor interventions but also on the quality of leadership that inspires collective vision and mobilizes society (Chesterman, 2004; Barakat, 2019). Transformational leadership theory (Burns, 1978; Bass & Riggio, 2006) provides a lens for analyzing how leaders can rebuild trust and encourage societal resilience by inspiring citizens to transcend narrow divisions.

Case studies in global contexts illustrate how transformational leadership has shaped recovery outcomes. For instance, Nelson Mandela's leadership in South Africa demonstrated how charisma, moral authority, and vision could bridge deep racial divides (Lodge, 2006). Similarly, in East Timor, leaders were instrumental in mobilizing local and international actors to sustain fragile peace building (Chesterman, 2004). These cases suggest that recovery processes require leaders who can negotiate legitimacy, provide hope, and stimulate inclusive participation. However, the literature also cautions against over-reliance on charismatic leaders, emphasizing the need for institutionalized leadership structures (Rotberg, 2014).

These African examples demonstrate that transformational leadership is rarely exercised in purely formal political contexts. Rather, effective leadership emerges from leaders' ability to engage with hybrid governance structures, balancing state authority with traditional and community legitimacy (Boege et al., 2009). Yet, they also reveal challenges: leadership may be undermined by corruption, donor dependency, and exclusionary politics. This dual reality underscores the tension between visionary leadership and the structural constraints of fragile states. Conversely, South Sudan's relapse into conflict underscores the dangers of transactional and exclusionary leadership that prioritizes patronage over nation building (Reno, 2015). These cases provide lessons for Borno recovery depends not only on external assistance but also on domestic leadership that prioritizes reconciliation, governance, and economic opportunity.

Nigeria's experience, particularly in the North-East, reveals both the importance and absence of transformational leadership. Scholars have documented how weak governance, corruption, and

elite-driven recovery programs have undermined reconstruction efforts (Njoku & Nwankwo, 2021). Yet at the community level, local leaders including traditional authorities, women leaders, and youth groups have mobilized resilience and grassroots peace building (Yahaya & Mohammed, 2019).

Nigeria's federal system and resource-dependent political economy complicate Borno's recovery. While federal interventions, such as the North-East Development Commission, aim to coordinate reconstruction, governance challenges, corruption, and elite fragmentation often undermine progress (Ikelegbe & Umukoro, 2016). Thus, transformational leadership at both state and community levels becomes essential to navigate these political economy constraints.

Post-Conflict Recovery in Nigeria's North-East

The Boko Haram insurgency has displaced over 2.5 million people and destroyed livelihoods across Borno, Adamawa, and Yobe States (World Bank, 2017). Recovery efforts, coordinated by government agencies and international organizations, have focused on resettlement, livelihood restoration, and infrastructure rebuilding. However, gaps remain in community trust, inclusion, and governance accountability. Umar and Gambo (2020) note that leadership failures in addressing marginalization and corruption contributed to the insurgency. Thus, transformational leadership offers a pathway to rebuilding trust, restoring legitimacy, and inspiring collective resilience.

In Borno State, the epicenter of Boko Haram's violence, leadership has been highly contested. Political leaders have sought to mobilize resources for reconstruction, but their efforts are constrained by limited state capacity, corruption, and donor-driven priorities (Mustapha & Bunza, 2020). Traditional rulers, including the Shehu of Borno and other emirs, continue to wield influence, particularly in fostering community trust and reconciliation (Kyari, 2021). Civil society actors, including women's groups and youth organizations, have also emerged as critical agents of grassroots leadership, providing social support and advocating for inclusivity in recovery efforts (Amnesty International, 2021). Yet, while this hybrid leadership reality is acknowledged in policy reports and grey literature, academic scholarship has yet to systematically apply transformational leadership theory to analyze recovery in Borno.

The Boko Haram insurgency, which has devastated northeastern Nigeria since 2009, represents one of the most protracted violent conflicts in Africa, leaving over 35,000 people dead, displacing more than two million, and collapsing fragile socioeconomic structures in Borno

State, the epicenter of the violence (International Crisis Group, 2021; UNHCR, 2023). Beyond the material destruction, the insurgency has eroded trust in state institutions, weakened traditional authority structures, and created deep fissures within communities. In the wake of such protracted violence, recovery cannot be understood solely in terms of physical reconstruction; it demands leadership that can mobilize resources, rebuild institutions, and inspire hope among war-weary populations (Abdulkadir and Alabi; Adebajo, 2020; Barakat, 2019).

The centrality of leadership in post-conflict recovery has been widely acknowledged in both peace building and governance scholarship. Transformational leadership, with its emphasis on vision, charisma, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration, has been advanced as particularly relevant for societies emerging from violent conflict (Bass & Riggio, 2006; Burns, 1978). Unlike transactional leadership, which is preoccupied with exchange and compliance, transformational leadership is oriented towards motivating followers to transcend narrow interests in pursuit of collective goals (Northouse, 2022). In fragile and divided societies such as post-conflict Borno, leadership of this type can play a decisive role in forging social cohesion, restoring legitimacy, and promoting sustainable recovery.

Yet, much of the existing literature on transformational leadership and recovery is derived from Western contexts or from highly institutionalized political systems. Studies of Africa have tended to privilege either charismatic political leadership in the mold of post-independence figures like Kwame Nkrumah and Julius Nyerere, or technocratic leadership in donor-driven recovery contexts such as Liberia and Sierra Leone. What remains underexplored is how transformational leadership manifests in hybrid governance contexts where authority is shared among elected officials, traditional rulers, religious leaders, and civil society actors as is the case in Borno State.

This gap is significant because post-conflict recovery in northeastern Nigeria is being mediated not only by the formal state but also by traditional institutions, international humanitarian actors, and grassroots community structures (Agbibo, 2022). The success of recovery efforts, therefore, hinge on leadership that can bridge these multiple spheres of authority, articulate a shared vision and inspire inclusive participation. Against this background, this paper interrogates the role of transformational leadership in shaping post-conflict recovery in Borno State. It asks: To what extent has transformational leadership across political, traditional, and civil society domains

facilitated recovery in Borno? What challenges constrain the realization of such leadership in a fragile post-insurgency environment?

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical foundation of this study is transformational leadership theory (Burns, 1978; Bass, 1990). The theory posits that leaders who go beyond transactional exchanges to inspire, empower, and stimulate their followers are more effective in driving change. Emerging prominently in the late 20th century, the theory emphasizes leaders' ability to inspire, motivate, and transform their followers beyond transactional exchanges. In post-conflict societies such as Borno State, where the devastating impact of Boko Haram insurgency has left deep political, economic, and social scars, transformational leadership presents itself as a vital peace building framework.

The central argument of transformational leadership is that effective leaders go beyond managing day-to-day operations and focus on inspiring followers to transcend their immediate self-interest for the collective good. Rather than relying solely on rewards and punishments (transactional leadership), transformational leaders instill vision, values, and long-term goals that can reshape both individuals and institutions.

Bernard Bass (1978) identified four core dimensions famously called the "Four I's" (Bass, 1985), which he defines transformational leadership: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration. Idealized influence refers to the leader's ability to serve as a role model, earning the trust, admiration, and respect of followers. Such leaders demonstrate ethical conduct, a strong sense of purpose, and commitment to shared values, thereby inspiring followers to emulate their behavior. Inspirational motivation involves the articulation of a compelling vision that instills meaning, optimism, and enthusiasm among followers. Through clear communication of goals and high expectations, leaders foster a sense of purpose and commitment that aligns individual aspirations with collective objectives.

The third dimension, intellectual stimulation, emphasizes the leader's role in encouraging creativity, innovation, and critical thinking among subordinates. Transformational leaders challenge conventional assumptions, promote problem-solving, and nurture an environment where followers feel empowered to explore new ideas without fear of failure. Lastly, individualized consideration highlights the leader's attention to the unique needs, abilities, and aspirations of each follower. By offering mentorship, empathy, and tailored support, leaders

foster personal growth and intrinsic motivation. Together, these four dimensions enable transformational leaders to elevate followers beyond self-interest, cultivating higher levels of performance, moral engagement, and organizational commitment.

Transformational leadership's appeal lies in its capacity to address crises and long-term change. Its strengths and provides a strong sense of mission and direction essential in post-conflict recovery. Despite its strengths, transformational leadership faces criticisms as risk of over-reliance on a charismatic leader, which may create authoritarian tendencies, and difficult to objectively measure transformational qualities and outcomes.

In the context of peace building in Borno State, transformational leadership has profound relevance in rebuilding social trust. After years of insurgency, trust in government and institutions is low, thus, transformational leaders can rebuild credibility by embodying integrity and shared vision. Similarly, in terms of healing divisions whereby the Boko Haram conflict fractured communities along ethnic, religious, and class lines, transformational leadership, through individualized consideration, fosters reconciliation, inclusion, and respect for diversity.

Importantly, for effective mobilizing collective action, recovery requires mobilizing communities, NGOs, and international partners. Inspirational motivation can unite these stakeholders around common peace building goals.

Transformational leadership theory offers a dynamic and visionary framework for understanding leadership in complex, fragile, and conflict-affected societies. While it is not without weaknesses—especially regarding charisma-dependence and structural limitations—it remains highly relevant to post-conflict recovery in Borno State. By instilling hope, trust, and shared purpose, transformational leaders can serve as architects of peace, guiding communities toward reconciliation, institutional renewal, and sustainable development.

Methodology

This study employed a mixed-methods design combining survey questionnaires and in-depth interviews. The research focused on Maiduguri Metropolitan Council (MMC) and Jere Local Government Area (LGA), the epicenter of displacement and recovery initiatives in Borno. The target population included Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs), CJTF members, community leaders, Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), and government officials, a stratified purposive sampling method yielded 250 respondents.

Data were collected using structured questionnaires (200 respondents) and semi-structured interviews (50 respondents). Focus group discussions (FGDs) were also held in Dalori and Bakassi IDP camps. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, while qualitative responses were thematically analyzed.

Findings

Table 1: Demographic Distribution of Respondents (N = 250)

Category		Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender:	Male	140	56
	Female	110	44
	Total	250	100
Age:	18-30	95	38
	31-50	115	46
	51 and above	40	16
	Total	250	100
Stakeholder Group:	IDPs	120	48
	CJTF Members	40	16
	Community Leaders	30	12
	NGO Workers	35	14
	Government Officials	25	10
	Total	250	100

Source: Field Study, 2025

Table 2: Perceptions of Leadership in Post-Conflict Recovery

Perception Indicator	Agree (%)	Disagree (%)	Neutral (%)
Visionary Leadership in Institutional Reconstruction	42	38	20
Community Resilience and Grassroots Peace building	36	44	20
Challenges of Corruption and Elite Capture	28	56	16
Inclusive Governance and Social Cohesion	33	47	20

Source: Field Study, 2025

Data Analysis

Analysis of field data and secondary sources revealed four central themes relevant to transformational leadership and post-conflict recovery in Borno State:

Respondents emphasized that effective recovery requires leaders with a clear vision of rebuilding beyond military victory. While some local leaders articulated strong recovery visions, weak implementation and lack of coordination undermined progress. For example, recovery frameworks often lacked community buy-in, reducing their effectiveness.

Despite institutional weaknesses, communities displayed remarkable resilience. Traditional rulers, women's associations, and youth groups-initiated grassroots recovery programs, from livelihood restoration to trauma healing. This reflects the potential for transformational leadership at the community level, where leaders inspire collective agency despite limited resources (Yahaya & Mohammed, 2019).

A recurring theme was the diversion of recovery funds by political elites. Corruption undermined trust in recovery institutions, weakened donor confidence, and delayed reconstruction. Participants noted that without transparent and accountable leadership, recovery programs risk entrenching grievances that could fuel renewed conflict.

Recovery in Borno requires inclusive governance that integrates diverse groups, including women, youth, and marginalized communities. Some leaders embraced participatory approaches, but others maintained exclusionary practices. Respondents stressed that transformational leadership must foster inclusivity to rebuild fractured social contracts.

Respondent Narratives

“We need leaders who listen to our pain, not just those who promise without action.” — Female IDP, Dalori Camp.

“The Civilian JTF inspires us because they sacrifice without expecting much, unlike politicians.” —Male CJTF member, Maiduguri.

“Our leaders should show us hope that tomorrow will be better.” — Community leader, Jere LGA.

Discussion of Findings

The findings highlight both the promise and limitations of transformational leadership in Borno's post-conflict recovery.

First, the role of visionary leadership aligns with transformational leadership theory, which emphasizes the articulation of a compelling vision that mobilizes followers (Bass, 1990). In Rwanda, such vision catalyzed rapid recovery post-genocide, though with authoritarian undertones (Ansoms, 2011). In Borno, however, visionary leadership remains fragmented and hampered by institutional weaknesses.

Second, community resilience illustrates how grassroots actors often embody transformational leadership qualities. Similar dynamics were observed in Sierra Leone, where community-based organizations played critical roles in peacebuilding (Sawyer, 2005). In Borno, bottom-up leadership compensates for elite failures, suggesting that transformational leadership must be understood beyond formal institutions.

Third, the persistence of corruption and elite capture underscores the limits of leadership in fragile states. Transformational leadership is often constrained by patronage politics in Africa, a reality evident in Liberia's post-war recovery (Sawyer, 2005). Without systemic reforms, leadership cannot fulfill its transformative potential.

Finally, the importance of inclusive governance resonates with conflict transformation theory (Lederach, 1997), which stresses reconciliation and social inclusion as pillars of sustainable peace. Borno's recovery requires leadership that transcends narrow ethnic, religious, or political identities to foster shared citizenship.

Challenges of Transformational Leadership and Post-conflict Recovery in Borno State

Transformational leadership in Borno State faces considerable challenges due to the protracted insurgency and its socio-political aftermath. Despite evident progress under leadership such as Governor Babagana Zulum, the state grapples with rebuilding human capital and infrastructure devastated by years of conflict. The leadership struggle includes resistance shaped by cultural, religious beliefs, and security concerns, which complicate efforts to foster educational enrollment, youth empowerment, and social cohesion (Authority Nigeria, 2025). These challenges reflect the delicate task transformational leaders must navigate between community traditions and modern governance needs.

A critical obstacle to transformational leadership in Borno is the persistence of transactional governance traits within Nigeria's broader political landscape. Scholars argue that Nigeria's political system, including in conflict-affected regions, still largely operates through transactional leadership where political cabals and entrenched interests cloud visionary reforms. This limits

political will and foresight essential for transformational change, thereby posing a barrier to sustainable development and good governance (Ojobo, 2024). Hence, transformational leadership must overcome systemic political inertia to effect lasting post-conflict recovery.

Post-conflict recovery in Borno involves multifaceted socio-economic challenges including education disruption, drug abuse among youth, and gender disparity fuelled by deep-rooted socio-cultural dynamics. The state's transformation blueprint recognizes the necessity of investing in people through education, youth engagement, and social equity initiatives amid environmental and infrastructure rehabilitation needs (Borno State Government, 2023). Transformational leadership must, therefore, drive a comprehensive agenda that balances immediate humanitarian concerns with long-term human capital development. Sustainable recovery also demands bridging the gap between policy formulation and effective implementation, a challenge compounded by weak institutional capacity, corruption, and lack of accountability prevalent in Nigerian governance. Transformational leaders play a vital role in inspiring collective action, fostering ethical governance, and mobilizing innovation across sectors such as education, infrastructure, and agriculture. By promoting intellectual stimulation and individualized consideration, these leaders can empower communities in Borno to adopt sustainable practices that underpin societal resilience (Ogbe, 2025).

In summary, the challenges of transformational leadership and post-conflict recovery in Borno State are interconnected. Leadership must transcend transactional politics, engage socio-cultural complexities, and champion sustainable governance reforms to rebuild a war-affected society. These themes highlight the critical role transformational leadership plays in redefining governance and fostering holistic recovery in Borno, offering valuable lessons for other post-conflict settings in developing nations.

Comparatively, Borno reflects the broader African experience: where leadership is visionary, inclusive, and accountable, recovery accelerates; where leadership is fragmented or corrupt, recovery falters. The challenge is embedding transformational leadership principles into institutional and community structures across Africa.

Conclusion

This study explored the nexus between transformational leadership and post-conflict recovery strategies in Borno State, North-East Nigeria, with a view to generating insights applicable to Africa's wider post-conflict landscape. Using a field-based empirical approach that integrated

survey data, interviews, and focus group discussions, the research highlighted how leadership vision, inclusivity, and legitimacy interact with governance structures and community expectations in shaping recovery outcomes.

The findings underscore several interrelated themes. First, transformational leadership plays a critical role in legitimacy-building in post-conflict contexts. In Borno, where decades of insurgency eroded state–society trust, leaders who demonstrated moral authority, inclusivity, and visionary planning were perceived as catalysts of reconciliation and hope. Conversely, leaders associated with corruption and exclusion were viewed as obstacles to recovery, reinforcing the grievances that initially fueled conflict.

Second, transformational leadership is indispensable in the reconstruction of governance institutions. Recovery is not merely a technical exercise in rebuilding schools, roads, and markets; it is fundamentally about restoring trust in institutions. The evidence from Borno suggests that leaders who prioritize transparency, accountability, and equitable distribution of resources can reorient fragile institutions toward serving the collective good. However, inconsistency in policy application and the politicization of aid reveal the fragility of these gains.

Third, inclusive recovery remains a weak link. Despite some progress, women and youth continue to be marginalized in decision-making, undermining the participatory ethos central to transformational leadership. Without inclusion, recovery risks becoming an elite-driven exercise that reproduces inequalities and perpetuates fragility.

Fourth, structural and systemic barriers as corruption, weak institutions, insecurity, and psychosocial trauma limit the transformative capacity of leadership. These barriers demonstrate that visionary leadership, while necessary, is insufficient without deeper structural reforms and sustained community engagement.

Finally, the comparative analysis situates Borno within Africa’s broader post-conflict experiences. Rwanda’s relative success, Sierra Leone’s mixed outcomes, and South Sudan’s ongoing struggles illustrate that transformational leadership can accelerate recovery, but its effectiveness depends on institutionalization, inclusivity, and integration with broader economic strategies.

Overall, the study concludes that transformational leadership is a cornerstone of post-conflict recovery, but it must be embedded within systemic reforms, inclusive governance, and community-driven approaches to achieve sustainable peace and development. The study

concludes that leadership must be both top-down (state-led recovery policies) and bottom-up (community resilience and grassroots peace building) to sustain long-term recovery.

Recommendations

Based on the findings and discussion, the following recommendations are proposed for policymakers, practitioners, and scholars engaged in post-conflict recovery in Borno State, Nigeria, and Africa at large:

1. Institutionalizing Transformational Leadership Practices

Leadership training programs should be established for political leaders, bureaucrats, traditional rulers, and civil society actors in Borno. These programs should focus on transformational principles such as vision articulation, inclusivity, accountability, and community engagement. Nigeria's governance institutions should embed transformational leadership principles into public service reforms, ensuring that state agencies operate transparently and responsively.

2. Strengthening Legitimacy through Community-Centered Recovery

Leaders must recognize that legitimacy is built locally. Recovery programs should be co-designed with community stakeholders—traditional rulers, religious leaders, youth groups, and women's associations. Hybrid governance models combining state authority and grassroots legitimacy can create more resilient recovery systems. For instance, village-level recovery councils could work alongside local government structures to monitor implementation.

3. Linking Economic Recovery with Structural Development

Livelihood initiatives must be integrated into broader economic policies, linking vocational training with access to credit, markets, and supply chains. For example, IDPs trained in agriculture should be supported with land access, irrigation schemes, and market cooperatives. Recovery should prioritize infrastructure that supports economic reintegration, such as roads connecting rural communities to markets, rather than focusing disproportionately on urban centers.

4. Building Regional and Comparative Learning Platforms

Given that insurgency and post-conflict recovery challenges cut across borders in the Lake Chad Basin, Borno's leaders should engage in regional leadership networks with Chad, Niger, and Cameroon to share experiences and strategies. Scholars and policymakers should promote comparative learning from African post-conflict cases, systematically documenting successes, failures, and innovations in leadership and recovery.

Transformational leadership is not a silver bullet, but it is a necessary foundation for rebuilding societies torn apart by conflict. In Borno State, the evidence shows that when leaders act with vision, inclusivity, and accountability, recovery gains momentum and communities begin to heal. However, when leadership falters through corruption, exclusion, or neglect recovery stagnates, and fragility persists.

For Africa, the broader implication is that post-conflict recovery must go beyond reconstruction of physical infrastructure to the transformation of leadership, governance, and societal relations. Only by embedding transformational leadership within inclusive, accountable, and resilient institutions can post-conflict societies achieve the dual goals of sustainable peace and human development.

REFERENCES

- Abdulkadir, A. and Alabi, A. (2022). Implication of Government's Intervention Programme in Communal Conflict: A Comparative Study of Share-Tsaragi And zango-Kataf Communal Conflicts in North Central Nigeria.
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362029397>
- Adegbite, A. and Uzodike, U. O. (2018) Leadership and Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Africa: Lessons from Northern Nigeria. *African Security Review*, 27(3), 223–240.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10246029.2018.1508922>
- Adeniyi, O. (2020). Boko Haram: The Making of A militant Group and Nigeria's Post-Conflict Recovery Challenges. Lagos: Kachifo Limited.
- Akinola, A. O. (2019). Transformational Leadership and Governance in Africa: Reflections on Peace and Development. *Journal of African Political Economy & Development*, 4(1), 45–61
- Ansoms, A. (2011). Rwanda's Post-Genocide Leadership and its Socio-Economic Vision. *African Affairs*, 110(438), 1–22.
- Authority Nigeria (2025) Untold Transformation of Borno under Governor Babagana Umara Zulum Retrieved from <https://authorityngr.com/2025/03/04/untoldtransformation-of-borno-under-gov-babagana-zulum/>
- Avolio, B. J. and Yammarino, F. J. (2013) Transformational and Charismatic Leadership: The Road Ahead Emerald Group Publishing
- Barakat, S. (2005) After the Conflict: Reconstruction and Development in the Aftermath of War. I.B. Tauris

- Bass, B. M. (1990). From Transactional to Transformational Leadership: Learning to Share the Vision. *Organizational Dynamics*, 18(3), 19–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616\(90\)90061-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616(90)90061-S)
- Bass, B. M. and Riggio, R. E. (2006) *Transformational Leadership* (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- Bass, B. M. and Riggio, R. E. (2006) *Transformational Leadership* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- Borno State Government (2023) Borno State 10 Year Strategic Transformation Plan. Retrieved
- Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership* New York: Harper & Row.
- Danjibo, N. D. and Oladeji, A. (2018) Post-Conflict Reconstruction and Peace Building in North- East Nigeria: The Role of leadership *Conflict Trends*, 2018(2), 28–36.
- Folke, C. (2006). Resilience: The Emergence of a Perspective for Social–Ecological Systems Analyses. *Global Environmental Change*, 16(3), 253–267.
- Fukuyama, F. (2004) *State-building: Governance and World Order in the 21st Century* Ithaca: Cornell University Press
- Galtung, J. (1996). *Peace by Peaceful Means: Peace and Conflict, Development and Civilization*. SAGE Publications <https://doi.org/10.1177/1542316619871359> Design and methods (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kukah, M. H. (2020). *Witness to Justice: An Insider’s Account of Nigeria’s Truth Commission* Ibadan: Bookcraft.
- Lederach, J. P. (1997). *Building Peace: Sustainable Reconciliation in Divided Societies* Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press
- Matfess, H. (2017). *Women and the War on Boko Haram: Wives, Weapons, Witnesses*. London: Zed Books.
- Njoku, R. C. and Nwankwo, B. O. (2021) Governance and Leadership in Nigeria’s Counter-Insurgency and Post Conflict Reconstruction *African Journal of Governance & Development*, 10(1), 77–94.
- Ogbe, E. B. (2025). Transformational Leadership and Sustainable Development in Nigeria: Bridging the Gap between Policy and Implementation. *Kashere Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 3(2), 373-392.
- Ojobo, V. O. (2024). Transformational Leadership: A Precipitating Factor for Good Governance and Development in Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 11(2), 115-130. <https://journals.fuotuo.ke.edu.ng/index.php/ojss/article/download/61/61/115>
- Sadiq, M. D. (2024). Assessment of Boko Haram Insurgency, Population Displacement on the Challenges of Urban Governance in Maiduguri Metropolis, Borno State, Nigeria. Thesis degree of doctor of philosophy in (political science). Submitted to the college of postgraduate studies, university of maiduguri

- Sawyer, A. (2005) *Beyond Plunder: Toward Democratic Governance in Liberia*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner
- Skocpol, T. (1979) *States and Social Revolutions: A Comparative Analysis of France, Russia, and China* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Umar, M. A. and Gambo, D. (2020) Leadership, Governance, and Peace Building in Northeast Nigeria: Lessons from the Boko Haram Insurgency. *African Journal of Peace and Conflict Studies*, 7(2), 56–74.
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2020). *Assessing Recovery and Resilience in Northeast Nigeria* Abuja: UNDP Nigeria.
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2020). *Human Development Report: The Next Frontier Human Development and the Anthropocene*. UNDP
- World Bank (2017) *Nigeria: Northeast Recovery and Peace Building Assessment* World Bank Publications (Vol. II)
- World Bank (2018) *Nigeria: Recovery and Peace Building Assessment in the Northeast*. Washington, DC: World Bank
- Yahaya, I., & Mohammed, S. (2019). Transformational Leadership and Community Resilience in Post-Conflict Borno State Nigeria *Journal of Peace building & Development*, 14(3), 261–276.